



1
00:00:01,071 --> 00:00:02,642
Greg Barnett: This injector is a

2
00:00:02,677 --> 00:00:05,673
LOX - Liquid Oxygen/Hydrogen

3
00:00:05,708 --> 00:00:07,331
injector built by the direct

4
00:00:07,366 --> 00:00:09,065
metal laser sintering process

5
00:00:09,100 --> 00:00:10,898
or DMLS process. The main thing

6
00:00:10,933 --> 00:00:13,027
we'll be monitoring here will

7
00:00:13,062 --> 00:00:14,569
be the combustion chamber

8
00:00:14,604 --> 00:00:16,131
pressure, the fuel temperatures

9
00:00:16,166 --> 00:00:17,755
for both the liquid oxygen and

10
00:00:17,790 --> 00:00:18,970
the hydrogen, and other

11
00:00:19,005 --> 00:00:20,521
pressures throughout the system

12
00:00:20,556 --> 00:00:21,746
such as the manifold pressures

13
00:00:21,781 --> 00:00:22,994

and the injectors. It's an

14

00:00:23,029 --> 00:00:24,346

important objective for the

15

00:00:24,381 --> 00:00:25,666

Space Launch System because we

16

00:00:25,701 --> 00:00:27,146

haven't tested something this

17

00:00:27,181 --> 00:00:29,091

scale before: a 20,000 pound

18

00:00:29,126 --> 00:00:30,786

thrust level. What we want to

19

00:00:30,821 --> 00:00:32,427

do is take this test data and

20

00:00:32,462 --> 00:00:33,914

compare it to test data for a

21

00:00:33,949 --> 00:00:37,290

conventional machined injector

22

00:00:37,325 --> 00:00:38,362

and see what the differences

23

00:00:38,397 --> 00:00:39,993

are in performance and what

24

00:00:40,028 --> 00:00:41,618

kind of flow differences there

25

00:00:41,653 --> 00:00:44,114

are. Traditionally, the engine

26
00:00:44,149 --> 00:00:45,738
has been one of the longest lead

27
00:00:45,773 --> 00:00:47,810
items for the vehicle. This

28
00:00:47,845 --> 00:00:50,120
process then allows the

29
00:00:50,155 --> 00:00:52,322
potential to be able to produce

30
00:00:52,357 --> 00:00:54,530
parts much faster and less

31
00:00:54,565 --> 00:00:56,130
expensively.

32
00:00:56,165 --> 00:00:57,994
Ken Cooper: We want to build

33
00:00:58,029 --> 00:00:59,425
rocket parts and test them

34
00:00:59,460 --> 00:01:00,930
with additive manufacturing

35
00:01:00,965 --> 00:01:02,298
because it's a new class of

36
00:01:02,333 --> 00:01:03,938
fabrication technology that

37
00:01:03,973 --> 00:01:05,506
really hasn't been tested out,

38
00:01:05,541 --> 00:01:06,874

but the benefits are great in

39

00:01:06,909 --> 00:01:08,602

that you can build structures

40

00:01:08,637 --> 00:01:10,169

not only that you couldn't build

41

00:01:10,204 --> 00:01:11,961

before, but you can build

42

00:01:11,996 --> 00:01:13,410

existing structures a lot faster

43

00:01:13,445 --> 00:01:15,265

and cheaper. There's a lot of

44

00:01:15,300 --> 00:01:16,986

qualification effort that goes

45

00:01:17,021 --> 00:01:18,707

into - even just testing a new

46

00:01:18,742 --> 00:01:20,674

variation on a material. Since

47

00:01:20,709 --> 00:01:22,290

this is a new manufacturing

48

00:01:22,325 --> 00:01:24,122

process, we've got to put the

49

00:01:24,157 --> 00:01:27,290

techniques down from cradle to

50

00:01:27,325 --> 00:01:28,706

grave, from the design to build

51
00:01:28,741 --> 00:01:31,059
to test to qualify to certify

52
00:01:31,094 --> 00:01:34,170
for this whole new fabrication

53
00:01:34,205 --> 00:01:36,017
technology. Marshall's goal

54
00:01:36,052 --> 00:01:38,033
with all the work we're doing

55
00:01:38,068 --> 00:01:39,514
in 3-D printing or additive

56
00:01:39,549 --> 00:01:40,954
manufacturing of rocket engine

57
00:01:40,989 --> 00:01:43,082
parts is to develop a

58
00:01:43,117 --> 00:01:44,778
collective set of guidelines

59
00:01:44,813 --> 00:01:47,138
or a handbook, so when we go

60
00:01:47,173 --> 00:01:49,106
out to American manufacturers

61
00:01:49,141 --> 00:01:50,714
or our contractors and say

62
00:01:50,749 --> 00:01:52,362
"We want a 3-D printed rocket

63
00:01:52,397 --> 00:01:54,538

engine part," they've got

64

00:01:54,573 --> 00:01:56,186

guidelines to follow so they

65

00:01:56,221 --> 00:01:58,394

know they're going to make us

66

00:01:58,429 --> 00:01:59,122

a good part. It's not to

67

00:01:59,157 --> 00:02:00,162

qualify a part, it's to qualify

68

00:02:00,197 --> 00:02:01,369

the process.

69

00:02:01,404 --> 00:02:03,098

Barnett: One of the advantages

70

00:02:03,133 --> 00:02:05,386

that additive manufacturing allows

71

00:02:05,421 --> 00:02:07,442

is it allows designers to

72

00:02:07,477 --> 00:02:09,178

incorporate complex internal

73

00:02:09,213 --> 00:02:10,690

flow geometry into the injectors

74

00:02:10,725 --> 00:02:12,690

that they would not be able to do

75

00:02:12,725 --> 00:02:14,090

with conventional machining

76
00:02:14,125 --> 00:02:16,106
processes. Conventionally, a part

77
00:02:16,141 --> 00:02:17,570
of this size, an injector of this

78
00:02:17,605 --> 00:02:19,674
size, would have hundreds of parts.

79
00:02:19,709 --> 00:02:21,050
The additive manufacturing allows

80
00:02:21,085 --> 00:02:22,786
us to do all of that in really

81
00:02:22,821 --> 00:02:25,378
two parts. We want to see if

82
00:02:25,413 --> 00:02:27,618
there is any difference in

83
00:02:27,653 --> 00:02:29,442
injector performance by

84
00:02:29,477 --> 00:02:31,002
consolidating all these parts.

85
00:02:31,037 --> 00:02:33,025
This is important for all of

86
00:02:33,060 --> 00:02:35,378
liquid propulsion systems because

87
00:02:35,413 --> 00:02:37,610
we can determine what parts are

88
00:02:37,645 --> 00:02:39,162

going to be feasible to use